

Last time we saw:  $x^*$  argmin  $||Ax-b||^2 \iff \underline{ATA} x^* = A^T b$ normal equations  $(1, 1, \ldots, n)$ 

Since choice (only one option is correct):  
\n
$$
\Box b-Ax^* is or Hogons2 to the row space of A\n
$$
\Box b-Ax^* is or Hogons2 to the sum space of A\n
$$
\Box x^*
$$
 is in the *nucle* space of A  
\n
$$
\Box b-Ax^*
$$
 is in the *nucle* space of A  
\n
$$
\Box b-Ax^*
$$
 is in the *nucle* space of A  
\n
$$
\Box b-Ax^*
$$
 is in the *nucle* space of A  
\n*See exercise* 7.2, from  
\n
$$
\Box AFA \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}
$$
 rank(A)=n: 
$$
\angle CAT = \angle ATA
$$
 we can also  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow ATA \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$
 rank(A<sup>T</sup>A)=n: 
$$
\angle PBC
$$
 thus a solution,  
\nHence ATA is invertible. This allows us to write:  
\n
$$
ATA x = ATb
$$
  
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \angle ATA = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{1}{A}T\right)^{-1} ATb
$$
  
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \angle AX = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{1}{A}T\right)^{-1} ATb
$$
  
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \angle AX = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{1}{A}T\right)^{-1} ATb
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow A [A^T A]^{-1} ATb
$$
  
\nApplying this formula for A = a c/R<sup>n</sup> a  $\neq 0$  gives us:  
\n
$$
\Box A = \frac{1}{A} \Box B
$$
$$
$$

 $Pb = \rho ro_{span(a)} (b) = \alpha (aTa)$  a  $b = \frac{aB}{aTa} a = \frac{a(a)}{aTa} b$ 

Alternatively, we could derive this similarly to how we derived the normal equations:



Recommendation: Compare this to the geometric definition of the dot product from week two.

## Orthonormality

We call a set of vectors  $\{a_{1},...,a_{n}\}$  orthonormal if each vector in the set has length one and is orthogonal to all others in the set. We can express this as:

$$
q_i^T q_j = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j \\ 1, & i = j \end{cases} = S_{ij}
$$

<sup>1</sup> Can you give an example of such <sup>a</sup> set <sup>2</sup> Is any such set linearly independent

1) Anexample of such a set is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ good baseline for finding (counter, examples  $2)$  Yes! If  $q_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$  (no vectors in the set are zero) and  $q_iTq_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  (vectors are pairwise orthogonal)

why are ortho normal vectors useful They make projections easier see section on QR they ftp.fhfyignsweus to this decomposition

Exercise If q, and q, are orthonormal sectors in  $10^5$ , what combination  $\alpha$  9<sup>1</sup> B 9<sup>2</sup> is closest to a given vector b? Solution the projection of b anto span  $(q_{1}, q_{2})$ :  $\alpha = 91 \cdot b$ ,  $\beta = 92 \cdot b$ .

We could Qe(R<sup>n×n</sup> ortho good important: Q has to be a  
\nif 
$$
QTQ = I
$$
.  
\nProperties: 1.  $Q^{-1} = Q^{T}$   
\n2. The columns and rows of Q form  
\n3. they preserve lengths:  $||Qx||=||x||$   
\n4.  $QTQ = I$  for Qe(R<sup>n×n</sup> implies  $Q^{-1} = Q^{T}(c\rho, \text{exercise } S)$   
\n2.  $(Q^{T}Q)_{ij} = q^{T}ig_{j} = \delta_{ij}$  where  $q_{i}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$  is a column of  
\na normal set. We can apply the same argument with  
\nQQT and the rows of Q. There is no proof stated here  
\nboth orthonormal sets are always linear by independent  
\n(pairwise orthogonality is not enough to be equal independent  
\nvelocity in  $QR$  norm a basis.  
\n $QQ = Q^{T}$ 

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{Vectors in } & ||\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{Form} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{S} = \sqrt{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{S}} \\
&= ||\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S} = \sqrt{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}} \\
&= ||\mathbf{R}||\n\end{aligned}
$$

What kinds of linear transformations do orthogonal matrices correspond to ?

. Rotations, reflections

With the length preserving property  $||Qx|| = ||x||$ these two are the only options!



## The Gram-Schmidt Algorithm

input:  $\{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ , Rinearly independent output: { Un, ..., Un}, orthonormal  $span\{u_{1},...,u_{k}\}$  =  $span\{v_{1},...,v_{k}\}$  for all  $1\in k\in n$ 

Pseudocode

$$
u_{1} = \frac{a_{1}}{||a_{1}||}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{1} = \frac{a_{1}}{||a_{1}||}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{2} = a_{1}e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}u_{i} \text{ product}}
$$
\n
$$
u_{k} = \frac{a_{k}}{||a_{k}||}
$$
\n
$$
u_{k} = \frac{a_{k}}{||a_{k}||}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{2} = \rho_{3} + \rho_{4} = \rho_{5}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{4} = \rho_{5}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{5} = \rho_{6} + \rho_{7} = \rho_{8}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{7} = \rho_{7} = \rho_{8}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{8} = \rho_{8}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{1} = \rho
$$

https://www.desmos.com/3d/ac00d3e

Exercise<br> $A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$
\frac{\text{Solution:}}{\text{V}_{12} = \text{a}_{2} - \text{a}_{21} \text{ V1} > \text{V1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot 2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \text{ V}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix
$$

Assomptions:  
\nA R<sup>mxr</sup>  
\n
$$
\cdot
$$
  $A = \mathbf{R}$   
\n $\cdot$   $\cdot$  



Exercise Prove  $Qx=0 \implies x=0$  when  $Q$  has orthogonal columns without saying the word einear independence.

Solution: We multiply with QT from the left:  $Q \times = 0 \iff Q^T Q \times = Q^T Q = 0$  $\iff x=0$ 

Pseudo inverses

If there's no inverse, can we find something as close to it as possible?  $\longrightarrow$   $A^+$ Let AER man: left inverse if full column ronk: ATA = I  $A^{\dagger} = (A^{\dagger}A)^{-1}A^{\dagger}$  (1) invertible  $n \times n$  matrix if ronk  $(A)$ =n right inverse if full row rank:  $AA^+=\Gamma$  $A^{+} = A^{T} (AA^{T})^{-1}$  (2) invertible m xm matrix if ronk (A) = m General case: rank  $(A) = r$  $A^+= R^+ C^+$   $A = CR$ ,  $C \in R^{mx}$ ,  $R \in R^{rxn}$ <br>independent without zero first vef (A)<br>independent without zero

(holds for any full rank decomposition)

$$
A^{+} = R^{+}C^{+}
$$
  
=  $R^{T}(RR^{T})^{-1}(CT_{c})^{-1}C^{T}$   $(A)(2) \text{ for } CR$   
=  $R^{T}(CT_{c}RR^{T})^{-1}C^{T}$   $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$   
=  $R^{T}(CT_{A}R^{T})^{-1}C^{T}$   $(A = CR)$ 

Examples

$$
A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} A_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\nWe apply the formula  $A^{\dagger} = R^{T} (C^{T} A R^{T})^{\dagger} C^{T}$  and get:  
\n
$$
A_{1}^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} A_{2} [1 \ 1] = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} A_{2}^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
A_{3}^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

References: Last years course https://github.com/mitmath/1806