Felix Brever G-04



Recorp: Solutions of SLE

 Any homogenous system of linear equations (SCE) has at least one solution. TRUE X=0 always solves AX=0
 If AEIR^{nxn} is invertible there is at most one nonzero solution XEIRth to AX=0 TRUE (there are 0 nonzero solutions) solution XEIRth to AX=0 TRUE (there are 0 nonzero solutions)
 For AEIR^{mxn}, XEIRⁿ, beIRⁿ, AX=6 has a solution if and only if be C(A) TRUE

RRETI recop Gauss- Elimination Applying Gaussian Elimination on Ax=6 to get REF(A)=V i.e. multiplying with elimination matrices from left doesn't affect solution set of underlying SLE -> UX= 6 has some solutions as AX=6, specifically for b=0 (span of rows is preserved -> this is an exercise this week) • preserves linear dependence relations between colums This is why we can compute N(A), C(A) the way we did last week

rowechelon form (REF) 1. All zero rows at bottom 2. First nonzero entry is strictly to the right to first nonzero element of row above (=) all entries below pivot zero) reduced (RRFF) if also 3. Each pivot is 1 4. All entries aside pirot in each column ave zero

- Some facts Let A G (R^{nxn}:
- RREF(A) is mique!
- A is invertible ⇐
 RREF(A)=I
- The R in the CR de composition is RREF(A) without Zero rows

Which of the following are in reduced row echelon form (RREF)? $\begin{bmatrix} 324\\ 010\\ 000\\ 000 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 012\\ 103\\ 004 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 100\\ 100\\ 000\\ 010 \end{bmatrix}$

- Gauss VS. Elimination
- reduce to REF
- · easier to compute, more commonly used
- Gauss Jordan <u>Elimination</u> "con"t simplify *further with elimination elimination elimination*
 - read off solution
 - requires more elimination steps

Example

we computed this in week 4

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} n & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & n & 4 \end{bmatrix}, U = \begin{bmatrix} n & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & n & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix} = REF(A)$$

$$RREF(A) = ?$$

$$-> eliminale as much as possible:$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The CR decomposition, RREF(A) and N(A)

A = CR (first) independent information how columns of A information how to combine columns c to get, to combine columns in (to get A

Example from week 3:

https://www.felixgbreuer.com/week3.pdf

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -3 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 4 & -4 & 0 & 8 & 1 \end{bmatrix} C = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R$$

Which of these are true? $\Box ((A) = C(R) \qquad \Box \text{ the columns of } C \text{ form a basis of } C(A) = C(C) \qquad \Box \text{ the rows of } C \text{ form a basis of } C(A) = C(C) \qquad \Box \text{ the rows of } C \text{ form a basis of } R(A) = R(R) \qquad \Box R(A) = R(R) \qquad \Box R \text{ with zero rows removed equals } RREF(A) \\ Csee end of next page for solutions)$

solutions to the true lfalse questions above: X X

The following is a devivation showing that choosing R= RREF(A) without zero rows yields a factorization A=CR where the properties @ hold.

We can reduce A to
$$rref(A)$$
 by elementary
row operations:
 $EA = rref(A) = \begin{bmatrix} R_{rxn} \\ O_{m-rxn} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{c}{\leftarrow} \frac{rref(A)}{2ero rows} \stackrel{d}{\to} \frac{elementary}{bottom in rref(A)}$
 $row ops$
 $E \in IR^{m \times m}$ is the product of these eliminatian matrices
 $E = Ek \cdots E1$ where all Ei are invertible.
 $first step$
in years-Jordan
 $climination$
 $rence E^{-1} exists:$
 $A = E^{-1}EA = E^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} R_{rxn} \\ O_{m-rxn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{1}^{-1} & E_{2}^{-1} \\ I_{1} & I_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{rxn} \\ O_{m-rxn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{1}^{-1} & E_{2}^{-1} \\ I_{1} & I_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{rxn} \\ O_{m-rxn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{1}^{-1} & E_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{rxn} \\ O_{m-rxn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{1}^{-1} & R_{rxn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{1}^{-1} &$

The rows of R span R(A) as elementary row ops don't change span of rows (and we only removed Orows). In RREF(A) the nonzero rows are linearly independent, hence R's rows are a basis for R(A). With the definition of matrix multiplication ("colum/row-view") we find that the columns of A are linear combinations of the columns of E_n . As no row of R is zero and the columns of E_n " ore linearly independent (it is invertible) $C(A) = C(E_n)$ and the columns of E_n form a basis of C(A).

Ageneral solution to Ax=b

The general solution set x general = {x \ i \ Ax = b} of Ax = b for any A \ i \ R^m xn can be expressed as



Let $A \in [R^{m \times n}, \times \in [R^{h}, b \in [R^{m}, A \times = b]$: We now consider $\times p$ such that $A \times p = b, \times H \in N(A)$. First, we confirm that in fact $A(xpt \times H) = b$: $A(xpt \times H) = A \times p + A \times H = b + 0 = b$ Now we show that any \times that solves $A \times = b$ can be described as $\times = \times p + \times H'$ for some $\times H' \in N(A)$: We have $A \times = b$ and $A \times p = b$. Hence $A \times - A \times p = A(X - \times p) = b - b = 0$. Let $\times H' = \times - \times p$. It directly follows that $\times H' \in N(A)$ and $\times = \times p + \times H'$. Geometric interpretation of matrices

Very use ful to see them as functions that transform space: linear transformations (also after called finear map, Einear functions)

Let
$$U, V$$
 be vector spaces over some field T :
 $f: X \rightarrow V$ is a linear map if:
 $1. f(u+u) = f(u) + f(u)$ for any $u, u \in X$
 $2. f(x u) = x f(u)$ for any $x \in T_1$ $v \in X$

Updale Nov 24: This is being covered in the lecture right now!

• If we know now a know what the Rinear map does to any basis vectors, we know what the Rinear map does to any wector



Recommendation: https://www.3blue1brown.com/lessons/linear-transformations

The four find amental subspaces
Let
$$U, W \subseteq IR^n$$
 be subspaces of IR^n :
 $U \perp W$ if for any UEV, weW: U.W=O
 $(U \text{ is onthe good to } W)$
 $U^{\perp} = \{ V \in IR^n \mid U \cdot U = 0 \text{ for all } U \in U_3^2 \}$
is the orthogonal complement of U, the set of vectors
that are orthogonal to all vectors in U
The following holds:
 $U^{\perp} \text{ is a subspace of } R^n$
 $(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U$
 $R^n = U^{\perp} \oplus U$
 $\dim IR^n = \dim U^{\perp} + \dim U$ (this holds for any)
 $\det A \in IR^{mxin}$ with rank(A)=r:
 $C(A) = N(A^T)^{\perp}$
 $C(A^T) = N(A)^{\perp}$
Now applying the properties listed above gives US:
 $R^m = C(A) \oplus N(A^T)$
 $R^n = C(A^T) \oplus N(A)$
 $\dim (CA)=r$ $\dim N(A^T)=m-r$
 $\dim C(A^T)=r$ $\dim N(A^T)=m-r$
 $R \in T^T$

Example	$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 24 \\ 2 & 58 \end{bmatrix} $ we have next	will discuss this a similar example) t week
subspace	basis	dimension
CCA)	$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \leq \mathbb{R}^{2}$	2
N(A)	$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -4\\ 2\\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3}$	1
CCAT)	$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\4\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\5\\8 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \leq \mathbb{R}^3$	2
N (A ^T)	$\{\} \leq \mathbb{R}^2$	0

m = #rows = 2 = dim ((A) + dim N(AT) = 2 + 0n = #rolums = 3 = dim (CAT) + dim N(A) = 2 + 1



https://www.desmos.com/3d/d8fb99479d

References: Last years course for some definitions Sergey Treil, Linear Algebra Done Wrong, https://www.math.brown.edu/streil/papers/LADW/ LADW_2021_01-11.pdf